

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/098,642

Applicant(s)

QIAO ET AL.

Examiner

BJ Forman

Art Unit

1634

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) BJ Forman.

(3) _____.

(2) Kathleen Neuner Manne.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


BJ FORMAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to Claim 21 to more clearly define the random distribution, as described in the specification, and discussed in the request for reconsideration to thereby define the invention over the teaching of Walt. Ms. Manne agreed to the suggested amendment. The amendment will be made by examiner's amendment. .